

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20241
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,409	02/11/2002	Zhong Li	01-24	2903
75	90 03/12/2003			
Andrew Alexander Andrew Alexander & Associates 3124 Kipp Avenue			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, LEN	
P.O. Box 2038 Lower Burrell, PA 15068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lewer Barren, 1	13000		1725	-)
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	う

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/072,409	LI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The Mall INO DATE (1)	Len Tran	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2002.						
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-63</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	ived.			
Attachment(•					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) $\underline{2}$.	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	0.4.043	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. claims 1-13 are drawn to annealing and recrystallization comparing to previous data.
- II. Claims 14-25 are drawn to annealing temperature with recrystallization and having twin belt casting.
- III. Claims 26-34 are drawn to casting aluminum with hot rolling at 700 to 1100 degreesC and annealing.
- IV. Claims 35-45 are drawn to annealing at a specific temperature.
- V. Claims 46-47 are drawn to comparing and changing hot rolling temperature.
- VI. Claim 48 is drawn to hot rolling at specific temperature
- VII. Claims 49-58 are drawn to comparing slab temperature to initial rolling.
- VIII. Claim 59 is drawn to measuring grain structure.
- IX. Claim 60 is drawn to twin belt.
- X. Claim 61 is comparing grain structure and texture.
- XI. Claims 62-63 are drawn to grain structure and comparing casting Temperature with recrystallization temperature.

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Andrew Alexander on February 24, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-63 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,409 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bryant et al (US 6,264,765).

Bryant et al disclose the method for producing an aluminum alloy, AA5754 alloy (table 2), comprising the steps of providing a source of molten aluminum, providing a caster, rolling the caster into a sheet product, continuously annealing the sheet product at a temperature in a controlled temperature range, measuring the degree of recrystallization of the sheet product (col. 5, lines 55-60), relaying the signal to the controller (23), wherein in the controller, comparing the signal to previous signals relating to degree of recrystallization of the sheet product to provide a

Art Unit: 1725

comparison, and in response to the comparison, maintaining or changing the temperature upward or downward to produce desired recrystallization (col. 5, lines 40-67). The hot mill entry temperature is in the range of 700 to 1100 degrees C (col. 6, line 23). The twin belt casting is to produce a slab of 0.2 to 2 inches thick (col. 4, line 41). Hot rolling of the slab to a thickness in the range of 0.01 to 0.25 inch (col. 4, line 46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,409

Art Unit: 1725

8. Claims 12 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al (US '765) as applied to claim 1 above in paragraph 5, and further in view of Kamat (US 5,634,991).

Bryant et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach cold rolling the hot strip after annealing step to a gauge in the range of 0.01 to 0.16 inch.

However, Kamat discloses the method of cold roll after annealing and having a final gauge of 0.02 inch for the purpose of improving formability.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have cold rolled after annealing as taught by Kamat, in Bryant et al in order to improve formability.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,409 Page 7

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT February 25, 2003